





DCUSA Consultation		At what stage is this document in the process?
<h1>DCP 444</h1> <h2>Additional Settlement Runs</h2> <p><i>Date raised: 03 September 2024</i></p> <p><i>Proposer Name: Peter Waymont</i></p> <p><i>Company Name: Eastern Power Networks</i></p> <p><i>Company Category: DNO</i></p>		01 – Change Proposal
		02 – Consultation
		03 – Change Report
		04 – Change Declaration
Purpose of Change Proposal: <p>To describe how settlement runs, that will cause Supercustomer DUoS Reports (REP-002/A/B) to be created and sent under MHHS, that were not previously created as D0030/D0314 flows, will be handled.</p>		
 	<p>This document is a Consultation issued to DCUSA Parties and any other interested parties in accordance with Clause 11.14 of the DCUSA seeking industry views on DCP 444 'Additional Settlement Runs.</p>	
	<p>The Working Group recommends that this Change Proposal should proceed to Consultation.</p>	
	<p>Parties are invited to consider the questions set in section 10 and submit comments using the form attached as Attachment 1 to dcusa@electralink.co.uk by 22 October 2024.</p>	
	<p>The Working Group will consider the consultation responses and determine the appropriate next steps for the progression of the Change Proposal (CP).</p>	
	<p>Impacted Parties: Suppliers/DNOs/IDNOs</p>	
	<p>Impacted Clauses: Introduction of new Clause Clause 20, Schedule 19</p>	

Contents

1

Summary

3

2

Governance

3

3

Why Change?

4

4

Working Group Assessment

4

5

Relevant Objectives

5

6

Impacts & Other Considerations

6

7

Implementation

6

8

Legal Text

6

9

Code Specific Matters

6

10

Consultation Questions

7

?

Any questions?

Contact:

Code Administrator

DCUSA@electralink.co.uk

02074323000

Proposer:

Peter Waymont

Peter.waymont@ukpowernetworks.co.uk

01293 657 939

Timetable

The timetable for the progression of the CP is as follows:

Change Proposal timetable

Activity	Date
Initial Assessment Report Approved by Panel	03 September 2024
Consultation issued to Parties	08 October 2024
Change Report issued to Panel	20 November 2024
Change Report issued for Voting	21 November 2024
Party Voting Ends	05 December 2024
Change Declaration Issued to Parties	09 December 2024
Implementation	In line with the MHHS Programme milestone, M8 'Code changes delivered'.

1 Summary

What?

1.1. Clause 20 deals with the receipt, processing and invoicing of Supercustomer DUoS Reports.

Why?

- 1.2. Changes to the DCUSA text arising from MHHS were designed against the MHHS Programme code artefact which at the time indicated that the settlement runs that created the D0030/D0314 flows (SF, R1, R2, R3, RF, DF) would be the same runs that will create the REP-002/A/B messages. This largely arose from the “ERI0118- ECS Reports External” documents that contained an item called DI-160 “Settlement Code” in the REP-002/A/B (sent by Market-wide Data Service (MDS) to Distributors and Suppliers) and REP-901 (sent by Distributors to Suppliers) messages that contained the relevant values of SF, R1, R2, R3, RF, DF (and also included DR but D0030s/D0314s have never been created for those and the DR run doesn’t have a sequence number so couldn’t be processed). For more information see “Settlement Sequence Number” in ISD Entity 51 which is specified in BSCP707 Appendix 1 ‘Changes To Industry Standing Data’.
- 1.3. Subsequently the MHHS programme has amended DI-160 to add in “II” runs (MHHS-DIN-1077) - these are information runs that are created prior to SF. They therefore intend to send REP-002/A/B messages in respect of those runs.
- 1.4. The MHHS programme have said that if parties do not wish to receive REP-002/A/B in respect of those runs, they would need to raise a change request after migration starts. Such a change request would likely introduce a new data item, to replace DI-160 in the messages, that describes the desired run types if “II” and DR are not desired. This would mean a lead time for the change request to be considered and central systems to be adjusted, during which the “II” run data will be received by DCUSA parties.
- 1.5. DCUSA therefore needs to deal with the handling of the “II” Supercustomer DUoS Reports (REP-002/A/B), at least at the start of migration.

How?

- 1.6. To determine what to do with the “II” run files. Here are four options that have been identified –
1. Receive II REP-002/A/B – do not calculate charges, do not issue REP-901 and do not use in billing.
 2. Receive II REP-002/A/B – calculate charges and issue REP-901 but do not use in billing.
 3. Receive II REP-002/A/B – calculate charges and issue REP-901 and use in billing as the initial run (SF becomes a reconciliation run).
 4. Do not process the REP-002/A/B and raise a BSC Change Proposal or MHHS CR to stop the II REP-002/A/B message being sent.

2 Governance

Justification for Part 2 Matter

2.1. DCP 444 has been classed as a Part 2 Matter therefore, Authority consent is not required. DCP 444 is an urgent change because any changes need to be in DCUSA in line with the MHHS Programme milestone, M8 'Code changes delivered'.

Current Next Steps

2.2. Following a review of the Consultation responses, the Working Group will work to agree the detail of the solution for DCP 444.

3 Why Change?

Background of DCP 444

3.1. The purpose of the Change Proposal is to describe in DCUSA what should happen with the "II" runs (and potentially any DR runs) so that all parties have clarity.

Q1: Do you understand the intent of DCP 444?

Q2: Are you supportive of the principles of DCP 444?

4 Working Group Assessment

DCP 444 Working Group Assessment

4.1. The DCUSA Panel established a Working Group to assess DCP 444. This Working Group consists of Supplier, DNO, IDNO representatives and other interested industry participants. Meetings were held in open session and the minutes and papers of each meeting are available on the DCUSA website – www.dcusa.co.uk.

4.2. When presenting this change to the Working Group, the Proposer noted that they had not come with a preferred solution and felt it was appropriate for the matter to be discussed in a DCUSA Working Group. The Proposer noted that the II REP-002/A/B messages are currently being sent in Systems Integration Testing, but that DNO systems are at present unable to load them. The Proposer presented four initial options below.

1. Receive II REP-002/A/B – DNOs update their systems to accept these messages but do not do anything further with them.
2. Receive II REP-002/A/B – calculate charges and issue REP-901 but do not use in billing.
3. Receive II REP-002/A/B – calculate charges and issue REP-901 and use in billing as the initial run (SF becomes a reconciliation run).

4. Do not process the REP-002/A/B and raise a BSC change to stop the II REP-002/A/B message being sent.

- 4.3. One member raised that receiving the messages would be beneficial for forecasting, stating that as a Data Scientist within a forecasting team one of the major reports they deliver at the start of each month is a summary of the month end position (for the month just gone). The accuracy of this report, which is core to tracking performance against budget, would be improved by including II meter reads for the final days of the previous month. They also stated that billing the II run would ensure consistency with forecasting.

DR Runs

- 4.4. DR (non-scheduled interim dispute run) were included in the artefact because they existed prior to the MHHS programme however they have never been used in super customer billing and are not expected to be used for aggregated billing and Elexon have stated that they do not expect these to be sent.

Q3: Which of the four options do you prefer? Please provide your rationale.

Q4: Do you see value in receiving the II data in the REP-002/A/B for forecasting purposes?

Q4a: If so, should it also be billed for consistency?

Q5: If a BSC Change Proposal or MHHS CR is raised to remove the II run, should the DR run also be removed?

5 Relevant Objectives

Assessment Against the DCUSA Objectives

- 5.1 For a DCUSA Change Proposal (CP) to be approved it must be demonstrated that it better facilitates the DCUSA Objectives. There are five General Objectives. DCP 444 will be measured against the DCUSA General Objectives, which are set out in the table below:

	DCUSA General Objectives Please tick the relevant boxes. (See Guidance Note 9)	Identified impact
<input type="checkbox"/>	1. The development, maintenance and operation by the DNO Parties and IDNO Parties of efficient, co-ordinated, and economical Distribution Networks	None
<input type="checkbox"/>	2. The facilitation of effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity and (so far as is consistent therewith) the promotion of such competition in the sale, distribution and purchase of electricity	None
<input type="checkbox"/>	3. The efficient discharge by the DNO Parties and IDNO Parties of obligations imposed upon them in their Distribution Licences	None

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	4. The promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of the DCUSA	Positive
<input type="checkbox"/>	5. Compliance with the EU Internal Market Regulation and any relevant legally binding decisions of the European Commission and/or the Agency for the Co-operation of Energy Regulators.	None

5.2 The proposer stated that this CP will ensure DCUSA properly describes processes relating to Supercustomer DUoS Reports and their billing.

Q6: Do you consider that the proposal better facilitates the DCUSA General Objectives?

If so, please detail which of the General Objectives you believe are better facilitated and provide supporting reasons.

If not, please provide supporting reasons.

6 Impacts & Other Considerations

- 6.1 Distributors' billing systems and suppliers' validation systems may be impacted – to varying degrees depending on the desired outcome.
- 6.2 A MHHS programme CR/ BSC CP may be raised subsequently – depending on the outcome of this DCP (e.g. if we don't want to utilise the II runs then it may be that we ask settlements not to send them).

Q7: Are you aware of any wider industry developments that may impact upon or be impacted by this CP?

Q8: How are you impacted by the outcome of this CP?

7 Implementation

- 7.1 The Implementation Date for this Change Proposal will be the date determined by the Authority in line with the MHHS Programme milestone, M8 'Code changes delivered'.
- 7.2 Ofgem is currently considering MHHS CR55, which seeks to extend the M8 milestone by 5 months.

Q9: Do you agree with the Working Group's proposed implementation date? If not, please provide your rationale.

8 Legal Text

8.1 Legal text will be developed when a solution has been agreed.

Q10: Do you have any other comments on DCP 444?

9 Code Specific Matters

9.1 N/A.

10 Consultation Questions

10.1 The Working Group is seeking industry views on the following consultation questions:

Number	Questions
1	Do you understand the intent of DCP 444?
2	Are you supportive of the principles of DCP 444?
3	Which of the four options do you prefer? Please provide your rationale.
4	Do you see value in receiving the II data in the REP-002/A/B for forecasting purposes? 4a: If so, should it also be billed for consistency?
5	If a BSC Change Proposal or MHHS CR is raised to remove the II run, should the DR run also be removed?
6	Do you consider that the proposal better facilitates the DCUSA General Objectives? If so, please detail which of the General Objectives you believe are better facilitated and provide supporting reasons. If not, please provide supporting reasons.
7	Are you aware of any wider industry developments that may impact upon or be impacted by this CP?
8	How are you impacted by the outcome of this CP?
9	Do you agree with the Working Group's proposed implementation date? If not, please provide your rationale.
10	Do you have any other comments on DCP 444?

10.2 Responses should be submitted using Attachment 1 to dcusa@electralink.co.uk no later than, 22 October 2024.

10.3 Responses, or any part thereof, can be provided in confidence. Parties are asked to clearly indicate any parts of a response that are to be treated confidentially.

Attachments

- Attachment 1: DCP 444 Consultation Response Form
- Attachment 2: DCP 444 Change Proposal Form